Creating a Positive Work Environment: University Administration's Responsibilities Towards Academic Staff Well-being

Suleiman Folorunsho, AMBALI
Environmental Toxicology
University of Ilorin

Quote: "An organization is only as good as how it treats its people" Simon Sinek

1.0 Introduction

Distinguished Vice-Chancellor, Members of the University Management, Provosts, Deans, Directors, Heads of Departments, esteemed colleagues, and members of the university community, I am deeply honoured to deliver this year's Faculty of Education Annual Lecture on a topic that sits at the very heart of our institutional excellence: creating a positive work environment: University Administration's Responsibilities Toward Academic Staff Well-being. I extend my heartfelt gratitude to the Dean, the management staff, the Local Organising Committee and indeed all staff of the Faculty of Education, University of Ilorin, for this invitation.

In an era where universities worldwide grapple with increasing pressures—from increasing enrolment figures to funding constraints, from changing government policies to political interference, from the demand for research intensification to evolving pedagogical demands to global competition —the well-being of our academic staff has never been more critical. Today, we examine not just the moral imperative but the strategic necessity of fostering environments where our educators can thrive professionally, personally, and intellectually.

Before we go deep into the lecture, there is a need to properly contextualize some key words from this topic:

2.0 Explanations of key concepts

Work environment: A work environment encompasses the totality of conditions, circumstances, and influences that affect employees' ability to perform their duties, experience job satisfaction, and maintain their overall well-being while at work. In the context of academic institutions, the work environment extends beyond the physical workspace to include organisational culture, interpersonal relationships, administrative systems, and the broader institutional climate that shapes daily experiences for academic staff. Therefore, a positive work environment is a workplace culture where employees feel valued, supported, and motivated to perform their best. It encompasses several key elements:

Psychological safety forms the foundation, where people feel comfortable expressing ideas, asking questions, and admitting mistakes without fear of judgment or retaliation. This creates an atmosphere of trust and openness.

Clear communication flows in all directions, with transparent expectations, regular feedback, and accessible leadership. Information is shared openly, and employees understand how their work contributes to larger goals.

Recognition and appreciation are woven into the culture, acknowledging both achievements and efforts. This includes fair compensation, growth opportunities, and celebrating successes both big and small.

Work-life balance is respected through flexible policies, reasonable workloads, and understanding that employees have lives outside of work. This reduces burnout and increases long-term productivity.

Collaboration and teamwork are encouraged over competition, with diverse perspectives valued and conflict handled constructively. People feel they can rely on their colleagues and work together effectively.

Professional development opportunities are available, showing investment in employees' futures through training, mentoring, and career advancement paths.

Physical and mental well-being are prioritised through safe working conditions, ergonomic considerations, and support for mental health challenges.

The result is higher employee engagement, lower turnover, increased creativity and innovation, and ultimately better business outcomes. People in positive work environments tend to be more productive, collaborative, and committed to their organisation's success.

University administration: The administration of a university is a process of making use of the available resources towards the achievement of the educational goals of the organisation. University administration is a process primarily concerned with creating, maintaining and coordinating the resources and energy within educational institutions to achieve predefined goals.

Academic staff: According to the Status of Higher Education Teaching Personnel (UNESCO, 2016), university academic staff means all persons in higher education institutions or programmes involved in training and/or pursuit of scholarships and/or research and/or provision

of educational services for students or the community. The academic staff is the implementer of the curriculum and the facilitator of the teaching-learning process, whose performance significantly determines the outcome of the process. The staff is also, to an extent, involved in the administration of the school.

3.0 Higher Education- Imperatives and Importance

Education is widely accepted as a major instrument for promoting socio-economic, political and cultural development in Nigeria. Universities educate future leaders and develop the high-level technical capacities that underpin economic growth and development. The main purpose and relevance of university education in Nigeria is the provision of much needed manpower to accelerate the socioeconomic development of the nation. Higher education is regarded as an instrument of social change and economic development.

According to the National Policy on Education (2004), higher education is expected to:

• Contribute to national development through high-level, relevant manpower training

• Develop and inculcate proper values for the survival of the individual and society.

• Develop the intellectual capability of individuals to understand and appreciate their local and external environments.

• Acquire both physical and intellectual skills, which will enable individuals to be self-reliant and useful members of society.

• Promote and encourage scholarship and community service.

• Forge and cement national unity.

• Promote national and international understanding and interaction.

Considering the above, the university could be seen as one of the agents that should shape the destiny of man and society.

4.0 The Contemporary Context: Challenges Facing Academic Staff

i. The Evolving Academic Landscape

The modern university operates in an increasingly complex environment. Academic staff today navigate multiple responsibilities: teaching excellence, research productivity, community service, administrative duties, and often, external professional engagements. This multifaceted role, while enriching, can become overwhelming without proper institutional support.

ii. The Nigerian University Context

Our universities face unique challenges that directly impact staff well-being. Prolonged industrial actions, delayed promotions, inadequate research funding, increased student enrolment, and infrastructure deficits create an environment where even the most dedicated academics struggle to maintain optimal performance and satisfaction. The last ASUU strikes, while highlighting legitimate concerns, also underscore the critical need for proactive administrative approaches to staff welfare.

5.0 Understanding Academic Staff Well-being: A Holistic Approach

i.   Defining Well-being in the Academic Context

Academic staff well-being encompasses multiple dimensions: physical health, mental and emotional wellness, job satisfaction, work-life balance, professional development opportunities, overall quality of their work experience and a sense of purpose and belonging within the institutional community. It is not merely the absence of stress or dissatisfaction but the presence of positive engagement, growth, and fulfilment in one's academic career.

Why Academic Staff Well-being Matters

Academic staff well-being directly impacts student outcomes, research quality, institutional culture, and long-term sustainability. When faculty and staff thrive, universities excel. When they struggle, the entire academic enterprise suffers.

Understanding Academic Staff Well-being

a.   Dimensions of Well-being

Academic staff well-being encompasses multiple interconnected dimensions:

i. Physical Well-being: Health, safety, ergonomic work conditions, and access to healthcare resources. Universities must ensure safe working environments, provide health benefits, and promote physical wellness initiatives.

ii. Mental and Emotional Well-being: Psychological safety, stress management, work-life balance, and mental health support. This includes addressing anxiety, depression, burnout and providing counselling services.

iii. Professional Well-being: Career development, job security, recognition, autonomy, and meaningful work. Academic staff need clear pathways for advancement, fair evaluation processes, and growth opportunities.

*iv. **Social Well-being***: Collegial relationships, community, belonging and collaborative opportunities. Universities should foster inclusive environments where all staff feel valued and connected.

*v. **Financial Well-being***: Fair compensation, benefits, retirement planning and financial security. Competitive salaries and comprehensive benefits packages are fundamental to staff satisfaction.

b. The Interconnected Nature of Well-being

Well-being is not compartmentalized. A lecturer struggling with inadequate office space may find their research productivity diminished, which affects their confidence in the classroom, which ultimately impacts student learning outcomes. Similarly, unclear promotion criteria can create anxiety that spills over into all aspects of an academic's work life.

i. The Student Connection: How Staff Well-being Affects Learning

Academic staff who experience high levels of job satisfaction, professional support, and personal well-being are better positioned to create transformative learning experiences for their students. Conversely, faculty members struggling with stress, burnout, inadequate resources, or workplace dissatisfaction inevitably transmit these challenges into the classroom, affecting both the quality of instruction and the overall learning environment.

ii. The Pedagogical Impact of Faculty Well-being

When academic staff experience positive well-being, their teaching effectiveness increases dramatically across multiple dimensions of educational delivery. Well-supported faculty members demonstrate greater enthusiasm for their subjects, which translates into more engaging and dynamic classroom experiences that capture student attention and foster deeper learning. These educators are more likely to invest time in innovative teaching methods, incorporate current research into their instruction, and adapt their approaches to meet diverse student needs.

Stress Transmission and Classroom Climate

The psychological concept of emotional contagion demonstrates that stress, anxiety, and negative emotions are readily transmitted from instructors to students within educational settings. Academic staff experiencing chronic stress, financial insecurity, or workplace dissatisfaction often unconsciously project these tensions into their teaching environments, creating atmospheres that inhibit rather than promote learning. Students are highly sensitive to their

instructors' emotional states and can quickly detect when their teachers are overwhelmed, distracted, or disengaged from their teaching responsibilities.

iv. Research Excellence and Student Inspiration

The well-being of academic staff directly influences their research productivity and scholarly engagement, which in turn significantly impacts the quality of education they provide to students. Faculty members who are supported in their research endeavours bring cutting-edge knowledge, current methodologies, and real-world applications into their classrooms, exposing students to the frontiers of their disciplines. Well-supported researchers are more likely to involve students in meaningful research projects, providing hands-on learning experiences that bridge theoretical knowledge with practical application.

Students benefit immensely from learning under faculty members who are actively engaged in research and scholarship, as these instructors can share insights from their own investigations, discuss current debates in their fields, and model the intellectual curiosity and critical thinking skills that define scholarly inquiry. Conversely, faculty members whose research activities are constrained by poor working conditions, inadequate resources, or excessive teaching loads are less able to bring this scholarly vitality into their classrooms, resulting in educational experiences that may be outdated, disconnected from current developments, or lacking in intellectual excitement.

v. The Ripple Effect on Student Services and Support

Academic staff well-being extends beyond classroom instruction to encompass the broader range of student services and support that faculty members provide. Well-supported faculty members are more likely to serve effectively on postgraduate committees, participate meaningfully in student organisations, contribute to academic advising, and engage in the mentorship activities that are crucial for student success and retention. They are more available for the informal conversations and guidance that often prove most valuable in student development.

vi. Long-term Institutional Consequences

The connection between staff well-being and student learning creates long-term consequences that extend far beyond individual classroom experiences. Universities with faculty who experience high levels of well-being tend to develop stronger institutional reputations, attract better students, and achieve higher graduation rates and post-graduation success among their alumni. These positive outcomes create virtuous cycles that enhance the institution's ability to attract resources, recruit quality faculty, and maintain high educational standards.

6.0 The Administrative Imperative: Why University Leadership Must Act to Ensure Academic Staff well- being

i. The Business case for Staff Well-being

Investing in academic staff well-being is not altruism—it is strategic management. Research consistently demonstrates that satisfied, supported staff are more productive, innovative, and committed to institutional goals. They have lower absenteeism rates, reduced turnover intentions, and higher levels of student satisfaction in their courses.

ii. The Moral Imperative

Beyond strategic considerations lies a fundamental moral obligation. University administrators are stewards not just of institutional resources but of human potential. When we fail to support our academic staff, we fail in our duty to create environments where knowledge can flourish and human dignity is preserved.

7.0 Unique Challenges Impacting Academic Staff Well-being in Nigerian Universities

The work environment in Nigerian universities presents a complex array of challenges that significantly impact the well-being, productivity, and career satisfaction of academic staff. These challenges are deeply interconnected and create a cascading effect that undermines both individual faculty performance and institutional effectiveness.

i.   Quality of Administrators

The quality of university administrators plays a crucial role in shaping the work environment for academic staff in Nigerian universities. The quality of university administrators is also a major factor that determines the manner in which university resources (human, financial and material resources) are managed to accomplish set academic goals. Mismanagement of most universities in Nigeria has contributed to the drop in the quality of teaching and learning across tertiary institutions in the country.

a.   Leadership Style and Employee Performance

Leadership style plays a crucial role in the overall performance of the employees of the University, with studies showing that transformational and transactional leadership approaches have positive effects on staff engagement. Transformational leadership was a positive significant predictor of academic staff productivity, suggesting that administrators who inspire and motivate their staff create more productive work environments.

b.   Administrative Leadership and Resource Management

The quality of university administrators directly affects how resources are allocated and managed. Resource situation factors such as physical resources, material resources, human resources and social amenities jointly and significantly contributed to the job performance of academic staff in Nigerian universities. Competent administrators who can effectively secure and distribute resources create environments where academic staff can focus on their core responsibilities of teaching and research.

c. Work Environment Factors

The work environment in Nigerian universities is significantly influenced by how administrators manage resources and institutional culture. Resource situation factors such as physical resources, material resources, human resources and social amenities jointly and significantly contributed to the job performance of academic staff. Effective administrators who ensure adequate provision of these resources create more positive working conditions.

d. Organisational Commitment and Retention

Quality administrative leadership affects staff commitment and retention. Leader-supported mentoring enhances organisational commitment of newcomers; thus, an increase in organisational commitment will result in lower intention to leave. This suggests that administrators who provide proper support and mentoring create environments where staff are more likely to stay and remain engaged.

e. Challenges in Administrative Quality

Nigerian universities face several administrative challenges that impact work environments. These include inadequate funding for resources, infrastructure deficits, and institutional governance issues. The quality of administrators in addressing these systemic challenges directly affects whether academic staff have supportive working conditions or face ongoing frustrations with poor resource allocation and management.

f. Organisational Structure Influence

The hierarchical nature of Nigerian university administration, with positions like Vice-Chancellors, Pro-Chancellors, and various administrative officers, means that the quality of leadership at each level cascades down to affect the overall work environment. Effective administrators foster collaboration, transparency, and proper communication channels, while poor administrators create bureaucratic bottlenecks and workplace tensions. Research shows that when administrators effectively manage workplace conditions, academic staff performance improves significantly. The correlation between administrative quality and positive work

environments ultimately translates into better educational outcomes, as staff who feel supported and properly managed are more productive in their teaching and research activities. The evidence suggests that competent, transformational university administrators who focus on resource management, staff development, transparent governance and organisational commitment create substantially more positive work environments create positive work environments that enhance academic staff productivity and job satisfaction.

ii. Resource Constraints and Chronic Funding Limitations

Nigerian universities operate under severe financial constraints that permeate every aspect of academic life, from basic classroom supplies to sophisticated research equipment. These resource limitations force academic staff to work within environments where essential materials are scarce or entirely absent, compelling faculty members to either personally fund basic teaching materials or compromise the quality of their educational delivery

iii. Infrastructure Deficits Compromising Academic Excellence

The deteriorating state of physical infrastructure in many Nigerian universities creates significant barriers to effective teaching and meaningful research activities. Academic staff frequently contend with inadequate classroom facilities, unreliable electricity supply, poor internet connectivity, outdated laboratory equipment, and insufficient library resources, all of which directly impair their ability to deliver quality education and conduct competitive research. These infrastructure challenges not only limit academic productivity but also affect faculty morale as they struggle to maintain professional standards in environments that lack basic amenities, forcing them to invest considerable personal time and resources in finding alternative solutions to institutional deficiencies.

iv. Excessive Teaching Burdens with Minimal Research Support

Academic staff in Nigerian universities typically face disproportionately heavy teaching loads that leave minimal time and energy for research activities, despite research being a critical component of academic career advancement and institutional reputation. Faculty members often teach multiple courses across different academic levels, supervise numerous undergraduate and postgraduate students, and handle large class sizes with limited teaching assistance, creating an unsustainable workload that compromises both teaching quality and research productivity. This imbalance between teaching responsibilities and research expectations creates a perpetual cycle of stress as faculty struggle to meet promotion requirements while managing overwhelming instructional duties.

v. Financial Insecurity Through Delayed Compensation

The persistent problem of delayed salary payments and generally inadequate compensation packages creates significant financial stress that extends far beyond the workplace into the personal lives of academic staff. Faculty members frequently experience months of unpaid salaries, forcing them to seek alternative income sources, accumulate debt, or compromise their family's standard of living, which inevitably affects their job performance and institutional commitment. The inadequacy of compensation relative to cost of living and compared to opportunities in other sectors or countries drives many qualified academics to seek employment elsewhere, contributing to brain drain and undermining the stability of academic programs.

vi. **Restricted Professional Development and Career Growth**

Limited opportunities for professional development significantly hamper the career progression and intellectual growth of academic staff in Nigerian universities. Faculty members often lack access to adequate conference funding, sabbatical opportunities, advanced training programs, or research collaboration networks that are essential for staying current in their fields and advancing their careers.

vii. **Rigid Administrative Hierarchies Constraining Innovation**

The prevalence of hierarchical administrative structures in Nigerian universities often creates bureaucratic barriers that discourage innovation, limit faculty autonomy, and slow decision-making processes essential for academic progress. Academic staff frequently encounter rigid procedures, excessive administrative oversight, and limited participation in institutional governance which can stifle creativity and discourage the kind of entrepreneurial thinking necessary for academic and institutional advancement. These hierarchical constraints not only limit individual faculty members' ability to implement innovative teaching methods or research approaches but also create an institutional culture that may be resistant to change and adaptation in an increasingly dynamic higher education landscape.

These interconnected challenges create a work environment that places significant strain on academic staff well-being, affecting not only their professional performance but also their personal lives, career satisfaction, and long-term commitment to Nigerian higher education. The cumulative effect of these challenges contributes to high levels of job dissatisfaction, increased turnover rates, and difficulty in attracting and retaining quality academic talent, ultimately impacting the overall quality and competitiveness of Nigerian universities in the global academic marketplace.

8.0 Challenges Faced by University Administrators in Creating Positive Work Environment for Their Academic Staff

University administrators in Nigeria face numerous interconnected challenges when trying to create positive work environments for their academic staff. These challenges stem from systemic, structural, and resource-related issues that have persisted for decades.

i.   Funding and Resource Constraints

The most fundamental challenge is chronic underfunding from government sources. Nigerian universities receive inadequate budgetary allocations, forcing administrators to operate with severely limited resources. This creates a cascade of problems including inability to properly motivate staff, maintain infrastructure, or invest in modern teaching and research facilities. This creates a situation where academic staff must work in substandard conditions while facing financial insecurity, making it extremely challenging for administrators to foster a positive work environment. In this situation, the university administrators will not have any options other than to constantly prioritize basic operational needs over staff welfare and development programs.

The irregular release of approved funds by government further complicates planning and implementation of staff-focused initiatives. When funds are delayed for months, it becomes impossible to maintain consistent support systems or implement long-term improvements to working conditions.

ii.   Infrastructure and Facility Challenges

Many Nigerian universities operate with deteriorating infrastructure that adversely impacts staff morale and productivity. Administrators struggle with inadequate office spaces, unreliable electricity supply, poor internet connectivity, and outdated research facilities. The cost of maintaining aging buildings and equipment often exceeds available resources, leaving staff to work in substandard conditions. These infrastructure deficits force administrators into a constant struggle to prioritize limited resources while trying to maintain basic operational standards. Academic staff often work in overcrowded offices, lack access to modern research equipment, and face frequent disruptions due to infrastructure failures. Administrators must navigate these challenges while attempting to maintain staff satisfaction and productivity.

Research facilities are particularly problematic, with many laboratories lacking basic equipment or functioning with obsolete technology. This severely hampers academic staff's ability to conduct meaningful research, affecting their professional development and the university's academic reputation.

iii. Human Resource Management Issues

Recruitment and retention of quality academic staff presents ongoing challenges. Low salary scales compared to private sector opportunities and universities in other countries make it difficult to attract top talent. Brain drain is a persistent issue, with many qualified academics seeking opportunities abroad or in better-funded institutions.

The promotion process often faces bureaucratic delays and unclear criteria, creating frustration among staff seeking career advancement. Administrators must navigate complex university regulations while managing staff expectations and maintaining fairness in promotion decisions

iv. Academic Freedom and Autonomy Concerns

Government interference in university administration can constrain administrators' ability to create optimal working environments. Political appointments to key positions, interference in academic programs, and restrictions on research topics can create tension and undermine the academic culture that staff value. Such interference undermines administrative authority and makes it difficult to implement consistent policies for staff welfare and development

University administrators often find themselves caught between government directives and institutional needs, making it challenging to make decisions that would improve the work environment for academic staff. This lack of autonomy affects long-term planning and policy implementation. Balancing government directives with academic independence requires careful navigation and can limit administrators' flexibility in implementing staff-focused policies.

v. Technology and Digital Infrastructure

The digital divide significantly impacts academic work environments. Many universities lack reliable internet connectivity, modern computing facilities, and access to international academic databases. Administrators face enormous costs in upgrading technology infrastructure while dealing with recurring challenges of maintenance and technical support.

The shift toward digital learning and research, accelerated by global trends, requires substantial investment that many administrators cannot afford, leaving staff inadequately equipped for modern academic work.

vi. Professional Development Limitations

Providing opportunities for staff development faces multiple constraints. Limited funds available for conference attendance, research grants, and sabbatical programs restrict academic staff's professional growth. International collaboration opportunities are often hindered by visa issues, funding constraints, and institutional partnerships that are difficult to establish and maintain. Administrators struggle to balance the need for staff development with immediate

operational demands, often sacrificing long-term professional growth initiatives for short-term stability.

vii.   Industrial Relations and Union Dynamics

Frequent strikes by academic staff unions create ongoing tensions that administrators must manage. Work stoppages disrupt academic calendars, strain relationships between management and staff, and create uncertainty that affects the overall work environment. Negotiating with multiple unions while addressing legitimate grievances within limited resources requires significant diplomatic skills and often results in compromised solutions that satisfy no party fully.

viii.   Governance and Administrative Efficiency

Complex bureaucratic processes inherited from colonial-era structures often slow decision-making and the implementation of staff welfare measures. Multiple approval levels for simple initiatives create frustration and delay improvements to working conditions.

Lack of modern management systems and administrative tools makes it difficult to efficiently handle staff records, payroll, and other human resource functions that directly impact staff satisfaction.

ix.   Research Support and Funding

The absence of robust research funding mechanisms limits administrators' ability to support staff research activities. Most universities lack dedicated research offices or grant-writing support, leaving academic staff to pursue research opportunities independently with minimal institutional backing.

Establishing partnerships with international funding organisations and research institutions requires expertise and resources that many administrators lack, further limiting research support options.

x.   Work-Life Balance Challenges

Work-life balance means finding a balance between your professional and personal life by effectively managing and organising tasks and routines. Going forward, there are scholastic views that work and life are incompatible among university staff considering the task they are saddled with on both fronts of the divide, which in turn causes poor performance on both sides. Heavy teaching loads, large class sizes, and inadequate support staff often overwhelm academic personnel. Administrators struggle to implement policies that promote healthy work-life balance when basic staffing needs are unmet. The lack of recreational facilities, childcare

services, and wellness programs reflects broader resource constraints that limit administrators' ability to address holistic staff needs.

xi.   *Quality Assurance and Standards*

Maintaining academic standards while managing resource constraints creates ongoing tension. Administrators must balance quality requirements with practical limitations, often asking staff to deliver high-quality education and research with inadequate resources and support.

Accreditation requirements and quality assurance demands add additional pressure without corresponding increases in resources to meet these standards effectively.

xii.   *Strategic Planning and Vision Implementation*

Long-term strategic planning becomes extremely difficult when administrators must constantly respond to immediate crises and funding shortfalls. Creating and implementing comprehensive staff development strategies requires stability and resources that are often unavailable.

The uncertainty of government policies and funding makes it challenging to commit to long-term initiatives that would significantly improve staff working conditions.

These multifaceted challenges require comprehensive, coordinated approaches involving government policy reform, increased funding, improved management practices, and innovative solutions that can work within existing constraints. Successful administrators often must be creative problem-solvers, skilled negotiators, and visionary leaders simultaneously, working to incrementally improve conditions while advocating for systemic changes needed for fundamental transformation.

xiii.   *Workload and Capacity Issues*

Nigerian university administrators face the challenge of teaching large classes and managing increased workloads for academic staff. The growing student population combined with insufficient faculty numbers means that existing staff members are often overburdened with teaching responsibilities, leaving little time for research and professional development.

This situation puts administrators in a difficult position where they must balance educational quality with practical constraints. The heavy teaching loads contribute to staff burnout and dissatisfaction, making it challenging to maintain a positive work environment while meeting educational obligations.

xiv.   *Security and Safety Concerns*

Insecurity has become an increasingly important factor affecting the work environment in Nigerian universities. The Administrators must now consider security concerns when planning campus activities, staff housing, and general operations. The security challenges range from

general crime to more serious threats such as terrorism and kidnapping, affecting staff willingness to work late hours, participate in evening programs, or reside on campus. This security concern adds another layer of complexity to creating a positive work environment, as staff safety becomes a primary consideration in daily operations.

xv. *Technological and Digital Literacy Gaps*

Poor computer literacy among some staff members presents challenges for administrators trying to modernise university operations and improve efficiency. The digital divide affects both administrative processes and academic delivery, requiring additional investment in training and support systems.

Administrators must balance the need for technological advancement with the reality of varying skill levels among staff members, often requiring additional resources for training and support that may not be readily available.

9.0 Administrative Strategies to enhance the work environment for its academic staff

The challenges facing Nigerian university administrators in creating positive work environments are complex and interconnected, requiring sustained effort and creative solutions. Despite these challenges, university administrators can implement several strategies to improve the work environment within existing constraints. Based on the research findings and established knowledge about Nigerian higher education challenges, Nigerian university administrators must take comprehensive action across multiple dimensions to address the hostile working environment faced by academic staff. The problems are systemic and interconnected, requiring strategic interventions at institutional, financial, and cultural levels.

i. *Administrative Responsibilities and Leadership Role*

a. Setting the Institutional Vision

University administrators must articulate a clear commitment to staff well-being through mission statements, strategic plans, and daily practices. This commitment should be visible, consistent, and backed by concrete actions and resource allocation.

b. Creating Accountability Structures

Establish clear roles and responsibilities for well-being initiatives across all administrative levels. This includes appointing welfare officers, creating committees, and integrating well-being metrics into performance evaluations for administrators.

c. Key Components of Effective Accountability Structures

i. Designated Leadership Roles

Universities should create specific positions focused on staff well-being:

- *Chief Welfare Officer or Director of Faculty/Staff Well-being*: A senior-level position with direct access to the president or provost, responsible for overseeing all well-being initiatives across the institution.
- *Welfare Coordinators at Department/Faculty/College Level:* Mid-level administrators who understand the specific needs of their units and can implement targeted solutions.
- *Staff welfare Champions:* Faculty and staff volunteers who serve as liaisons between their colleagues and administration, helping identify issues and communicate needs.

ii. Governance and Committee Structures

Create formal committees with clear mandates:

- *University Welfare Committee:* A high-level committee with representatives from all major stakeholder groups (faculty, staff, students, administration) that meets regularly to assess progress and make recommendations.
- *Department-level Welfare Teams*: Smaller groups that address unit-specific issues and report up to the university committee.
- *Cross-functional Task Forces:* Temporary groups formed to address specific challenges like mental health support, work-life balance policies, or professional development gaps.

iii. Integration into Performance Management

Make well-being accountability part of how administrators are evaluated:

- *Include Welfare Metrics in Administrator Reviews*: Deans, department heads, and other leaders should have their performance partially evaluated based on staff satisfaction, retention rates, and well-being indicators in their units.
- *Goal Setting:* Require administrators to set specific, measurable goals related to staff well-being in their annual objectives.
- *Recognition and Consequences:* Celebrate administrators who excel at supporting staff well-being and provide additional support or coaching for those who struggle.

iv. Regular Reporting and Transparency

Establish systematic reporting mechanisms:

- *Annual Welfare Reports*: Comprehensive reports that track progress on key metrics, highlight successes, identify challenges, and outline plans.
- *Quarterly Updates:* Brief updates shared with the university community showing progress on specific initiatives.
- *Dashboard Systems*: Real-time or regularly updated online dashboards that show key well-being indicators like staff satisfaction scores, program participation rates, and retention statistics.

v. Signs of Effective Accountability Structures

You know your accountability structures are working when:

a. Someone can always answer "Who's responsible for staff well-being in this unit?" b. Well-being initiatives have dedicated budgets and resources

c. Progress is regularly measured and reported

d. Problems are identified and addressed promptly

e. Staff feel heard and see their concerns being acted upon

f. Well-being considerations are integrated into major decisions

c. Resource Allocation and Investment

Demonstrate commitment through budgetary decisions. Allocate sufficient resources for well-being programs, mental health services, professional development, and infrastructure improvements that support staff needs.

d. Policy Development and Implementation

Develop comprehensive policies addressing work-life balance, flexible work arrangements, sabbatical opportunities, parental leave, and anti-harassment measures. Ensure policies are not only written but also actively implemented and enforced.

e. Governance and Administrative Reforms: Participating Management Strategies

Implementing participatory management approaches represents a fundamental shift toward creating more inclusive, responsive, and effective administrative systems in Nigerian universities. These strategies recognize that academic staff well-being is intrinsically linked to their level of involvement in institutional governance and their sense of agency in shaping their work environment:

i. Senate Strengthening and Enhanced Academic Representation

Universities must prioritise the empowerment of Senate structures to ensure meaningful academic staff representation in all major governance and decision-making processes that affect

institutional direction and academic life. This involves granting Faculty Senates substantive authority over academic policies, curriculum development, faculty hiring and promotion decisions, and resource allocation priorities, rather than relegating them to merely advisory roles. Strengthened Faculty Senate representation ensures that the unique perspectives, expertise, and concerns of academic staff are systematically incorporated into institutional governance, creating a sense of ownership and investment among faculty members while improving the quality of institutional decision- making through enhanced academic input and oversight.

ii. *Transparent Communication Systems and Regular Dialogue Forums*

Establishing comprehensive communication frameworks that facilitate regular, structured dialogue between university administration and academic staff is essential for building trust, preventing misunderstandings, and ensuring that institutional decisions are well-informed by faculty perspectives. These communication systems should include scheduled town hall meetings, departmental forums, online platforms for feedback and discussion, and regular reports from administration to faculty on institutional performance, challenges, and strategic initiatives. Transparent communication not only keeps academic staff informed about institutional developments but also provides opportunities for collaborative problem-solving and ensures that faculty concerns are heard and addressed before they escalate into major grievances or conflicts.

iii. *Comprehensive Grievance Resolution Mechanisms*

Universities must develop formal, accessible, and fair grievance mechanisms that provide academic staff with clear channels for addressing workplace concerns, conflicts, and disputes in a timely and impartial manner. These systems should include multiple levels of resolution, from informal mediation processes to formal arbitration procedures, with clearly defined timelines, neutral arbitrators, and protection against retaliation for those who raise legitimate concerns. Effective grievance mechanisms not only address individual staff concerns but also serve as early warning systems for identifying systemic problems within the institution, enabling proactive administrative responses that can prevent widespread dissatisfaction and improve overall workplace climate.

iv. *Holistic Performance Evaluation Systems*

Implementing comprehensive and equitable performance evaluation systems that recognize and fairly assess the full spectrum of academic contributions, including teaching excellence, research productivity, and service commitment, is crucial for faculty motivation and career development. These evaluation systems should employ multiple assessment methods, including peer review,

student feedback, research impact metrics, and service documentation, while providing clear criteria and expectations for each performance area. Fair performance evaluation not only ensures that academic staff receive appropriate recognition and advancement opportunities based on their actual contributions but also provides constructive feedback for professional development and creates transparency in promotion and reward decisions, thereby reducing perceptions of favouritism or bias that can undermine faculty morale and institutional credibility. The implementation of these participatory management strategies creates a collaborative governance environment where academic staff feel valued, heard, and empowered to contribute meaningfully to institutional success. This approach not only improves faculty well-being and job satisfaction but also enhances institutional effectiveness by leveraging the collective expertise and commitment of the academic community in addressing challenges and pursuing excellence in higher education.

f. Financial and Compensation Reforms

University administrators must advocate for and implement improved compensation structures. Poor motivation is identified as a primary problem facing academic staff of Nigerian universities, often stemming from inadequate salaries that fail to match inflation and living costs. University lecturers have been on the same salary structure since 2009 despite harsh socio-economic realities. Administrators must advocate for regular salary reviews and implement competitive compensation packages that reflect current economic realities. Administrators should work with government agencies and university councils to establish competitive pay scales, ensure timely salary payments, and create performance-based incentive systems that reward excellence in teaching, research, and service

Beyond basic salaries, administrators must establish comprehensive benefits packages including housing allowances, transportation subsidies, health insurance coverage, and retirement planning. These benefits help address the broader economic pressures that contribute to staff dissatisfaction and brain drain. Of note is the contributory pension scheme, which is bedevilled by a lot of challenges, which have created uncertainty and dissatisfaction among academic staff, contributing to labour disputes and affecting job commitment in Nigerian universities. The system's inability to provide timely and adequate pension benefits undermines confidence in retirement planning for university academics. Therefore, university administrators must be at the forefront of working with other stakeholders to ensure that academic staff are properly catered for after retirement

g. Recognition and Reward Systems

Effective recognition and reward systems are fundamental to fostering a motivated and high-performing academic workforce in Nigerian universities. These systems should encompass multiple dimensions of academic excellence while addressing the unique challenges facing Nigerian higher education institutions.

i.   *Excellence Awards Programs*

Universities should establish comprehensive excellence awards that systematically recognize outstanding performance across the three core pillars of academic work: teaching, research, and service. These awards serve as powerful motivational tools by acknowledging faculty members who demonstrate exceptional commitment to pedagogical innovation, groundbreaking research contributions, and dedicated service to the institution and broader academic community. Annual excellence awards ceremonies not only honour individual achievements but also set institutional standards and inspire others to pursue similar levels of excellence.

ii.   *Strategic Public Recognition*

Universities should leverage multiple communication channels to celebrate faculty achievements and create a culture of appreciation. This includes featuring faculty accomplishments in university newsletters, websites, social media platforms, and local media outlets. Public recognition extends beyond individual accolades to showcase the collective intellectual contributions of the institution, thereby enhancing both individual faculty pride and institutional prestige. Such visibility also helps attract prospective students, collaborators, and funding opportunities while demonstrating the university's commitment to academic excellence.

iii.   *Peer Recognition and Collegial Support Systems*

Fostering an environment where colleagues actively appreciate and support each other's work strengthens the academic community and enhances job satisfaction. Universities can facilitate peer recognition through mentorship programs, collaborative research initiatives, teaching circles, and regular forums for sharing scholarly work. Encouraging senior faculty to mentor junior colleagues, creating opportunities for cross-departmental collaboration, and establishing platforms for faculty to present their work to internal audiences all contribute to a supportive academic culture that values mutual respect and professional growth.

These recognition and reward systems work synergistically to create a comprehensive framework for faculty motivation. When implemented effectively, they address both intrinsic motivational factors, such as professional recognition and autonomy, as well as extrinsic factors, including career advancement and financial support, ultimately contributing to improved faculty retention, productivity, and institutional excellence.

h. Promotion Transparency and Process

A transparent promotion system with clearly defined criteria and realistic timelines is essential for maintaining faculty motivation and institutional credibility. Universities must establish explicit benchmarks for advancement at each academic rank, including specific requirements for teaching effectiveness, research productivity, service contributions, and professional development. Regular communication of promotion timelines, feedback mechanisms during the review process, and clear appeals procedures help create an environment of fairness and predictability that encourages long- term career commitment among academic staff.

n. Research Support and Funding

Poor research funding significantly hampers academic productivity and job satisfaction. University administrators must establish internal research grant programs, facilitate partnerships with international funding agencies, and create research infrastructure including well-equipped laboratories and access to academic databases and journals.

Administrators should also establish research support offices (similar to CREDIT @Unilorin) that help academic staff navigate funding applications, manage research projects, and disseminate research findings through publications and conferences.

The university administrator should also provide resources for academic publishing, including journal subscriptions and publication fees. The situation where academic staff pay journal processing fees from their paltry take-home pay does not augur well for their financial and mental stability. The University of Ilorin policy on part payment for Q1 journals should be strengthened to cover full journal payment. In addition, the university should extend similar support to other categories of recognised international publications.

j. Infrastructure and Working Conditions

Inadequate infrastructural facilities have been identified as a significant problem affecting academic staff performance. Administrators must prioritise upgrading physical infrastructure, including classrooms, laboratories, libraries, and office spaces. This includes ensuring investment in modern ICT infrastructure, reliable electricity supply, internet connectivity, modern teaching equipment and provision of digital learning platforms to address poor computer literacy concerns.

The problem of teaching large classes requires systematic attention through better space planning, recruitment of additional staff, and implementation of technology-enhanced learning solutions that can manage larger student populations more effectively and implementing reasonable student-to- academic staff ratios.

k. Professional Development and Career Advancement

Poor staff development programmes represent a critical area requiring immediate intervention. Administrators must establish robust professional development frameworks that include conference attendance support, sabbatical leave opportunities, research collaboration programs, and skills training initiatives. Poor computer literacy specifically needs addressing through targeted digital skills training programs.

Career advancement pathways must be clearly defined and transparently implemented. This includes establishing fair promotion criteria, mentorship programs for junior staff, and leadership development opportunities that prepare academic staff for administrative roles. Support interdisciplinary collaboration and provide resources for emerging research areas.

l. Work-Life Integration Support

Effective work-life integration support systems are crucial for maintaining faculty well-being, productivity, and long-term commitment to Nigerian universities. These support mechanisms recognise that academic staff face unique challenges in balancing demanding professional responsibilities with personal and family obligations, particularly in an environment where many faculty members juggle multiple roles to supplement their income. These can be by:

i. Flexible Scheduling Arrangements

Universities should implement flexible scheduling policies that accommodate the diverse needs of academic staff while maintaining educational quality and institutional requirements. This includes allowing faculty members to negotiate teaching schedules that work around research commitments, family responsibilities, and other professional obligations where operationally feasible. Flexible scheduling might involve concentrated teaching loads, alternative time slots for classes, or hybrid teaching arrangements that maximise both productivity and work-life balance. Such flexibility acknowledges that academic work often extends beyond traditional office hours and that optimal performance may require personalised scheduling approaches.

ii. Comprehensive Family Support Services

Recognising that many academic staff are parents or caregivers, universities should provide a robust family support infrastructure to reduce the burden of balancing professional and domestic responsibilities. This includes establishing on-campus childcare facilities or partnering with local providers to offer subsidised childcare services for faculty members. Additional family support measures might encompass emergency childcare services, school holiday programs, and family- friendly policies that accommodate the needs of faculty with dependents. Such services

are particularly valuable in Nigerian contexts where extended family support systems may be geographically dispersed due to academic mobility.

iii. Accessible Health Services and Wellness Programs

Universities should prioritise faculty health by providing accessible healthcare services that address both preventive and immediate medical needs. This can include establishing basic health clinics on campus staffed with qualified medical personnel, offering comprehensive health insurance packages that cover faculty and their families, or partnering with healthcare providers to ensure affordable medical care. Given the financial constraints facing many Nigerian universities, creative approaches such as health cooperatives, preventive wellness programs, and partnerships with medical schools can help deliver essential healthcare services while managing costs effectively.

v.   Social Connection and Recreational Programming

Academic work can be intellectually isolating, making social connection and recreational activities essential for maintaining faculty morale and building institutional community. Universities should organise regular social events, recreational programs, and community-building activities that bring faculty together in informal settings. These might include cultural celebrations, sports competitions, family days, book clubs, or hobby groups that allow faculty members to connect with colleagues beyond their immediate departments. Such activities help combat the isolation that can accompany academic careers while fostering the collegial relationships that enhance job satisfaction and institutional loyalty.

m. Stress Management and Mental Health Support

The pressures of academic life, including research demands, teaching responsibilities, administrative duties, and publication pressures, can contribute to significant stress and burnout among faculty members. Universities should provide comprehensive stress management support through workshops, counselling services, and mental health resources specifically designed for academic professionals. These programs should address common sources of academic stress such as research pressure, work-life balance challenges, financial concerns, and career uncertainty. Additionally, training sessions on time management, mindfulness techniques, and resilience building can equip faculty with practical tools for managing the inherent stresses of academic careers.

The implementation of comprehensive work-life integration support demonstrates institutional commitment to faculty well-being and recognition that personal and professional lives are interconnected rather than separate domains. These support systems not only enhance individual

faculty satisfaction and productivity but also contribute to institutional reputation as an employer of choice, thereby improving recruitment and retention of quality academic staff in Nigerian universities.

n. Security and Safety Measures

Insecurity remains a significant concern affecting academic staff's well-being. University administrators must implement comprehensive security measures, including campus security systems, surveillance and monitoring systems, emergency response protocols, medical emergency preparedness and collaboration with local security agencies. This includes ensuring safe transportation options, secure residential facilities for staff, and crisis management procedures.

o. Communication and Governance

Many hostile working environment issues stem from poor communication and governance practices. Administrators must establish transparent communication channels, regular staff consultation mechanisms, and participatory decision-making processes. This includes creating effective grievance procedures, staff representation systems, and regular feedback mechanisms that allow academic staff to voice concerns and contribute to institutional improvement.

p. Strike Prevention and Labour Relations

Given the recurring pattern of strike actions in Nigerian universities, administrators must proactively engage with academic staff unions and professional associations. This involves early intervention in disputes, regular dialogue with union representatives, and addressing staff concerns before they escalate to industrial action.

q. Technology Integration and Digital Infrasture

Modern university environments require a robust technological infrastructure. Administrators must invest in learning management systems, digital libraries, high-speed internet connectivity, and technology training programs that enable academic staff to effectively engage with modern pedagogical and research methods.

r. Workload Management

Conduct regular workload assessments to ensure fair distribution of teaching, research, and service responsibilities. Implement systems to prevent overcommitment and protect staff from excessive demands. Consider course releases for heavy service commitments and provide administrative support for large research projects.

s. Quality Assurance and Academic Excellence

Administrators must balance the need for improved working conditions with maintaining academic standards. This requires establishing quality assurance mechanisms, peer review systems, and continuous improvement processes that enhance both staff satisfaction and institutional reputation.

t. Building Inclusive and Supportive Communities

i. Diversity, Equity and Inclusion Initiatives

University administrators should create environments where all academic staff, regardless of background, identity, or career stage, feel valued and supported. Address systemic barriers and biases that may impact certain groups disproportionately.

ii. Mentorship and Peer Support Networks

Facilitate formal and informal mentorship programs, peer support groups, and collaborative networks. Encourage cross-departmental connections and create opportunities for meaningful professional relationships.

iii. Social Connection and Community Building

Organise regular social events, celebration gatherings, and informal networking opportunities. Create spaces for staff to connect beyond work topics and build genuine relationships with colleagues.

iv. Support for Underreprented Groups

Develop targeted support programs for people with special needs, women in STEAM, international faculty, and other underrepresented groups who may face unique challenges in academic environments.

v. Partnerships and External Relations

University administrators should actively seek partnerships with international institutions, government agencies, private sector organisations, and alumni networks that can provide additional resources, expertise, and opportunities for academic staff development and institutional improvement

The implementation of these measures requires sustained commitment, adequate funding, and collaborative effort between university management, government agencies, and academic staff representatives. Success depends on treating these initiatives not as isolated interventions but as components of a comprehensive transformation strategy that addresses the systemic challenges facing Nigerian higher education.

University administrators must approach these challenges holistically, recognising that there has been a decline in the motivation of academic staff leading to brain drain and a decline in quality performance. Success requires sustained commitment, adequate funding, and collaborative efforts between administrators, government, and stakeholders to create an environment where academic staff can thrive professionally and personally.

The key is moving from reactive crisis management to proactive, systematic improvements that address root causes rather than symptoms of the hostile working environment plaguing Nigerian universities.

9.0 Future Directions and Sustainability

a. Long-term Strategic planning

Long-term strategic planning for academic staff well-being requires Nigerian university administrators to develop comprehensive roadmaps that extend beyond their current tenure, ensuring that initiatives survive leadership transitions and continue to evolve with changing institutional needs. This planning process begins with creating five to ten-year master plans that integrate staff welfare goals with the university's broader academic and research objectives, establishing measurable benchmarks that future administrators can build upon rather than discard. University leaders must engage in extensive stakeholder consultations with academic staff unions, governing councils, and senate committees to build consensus around well-being priorities, creating buy-in that transcends individual administrative periods and political changes.

The strategic planning process should incorporate demographic analysis of the current academic workforce, anticipating retirement patterns, recruitment needs, and skill gaps that will emerge over the coming decade. Universities must also consider external factors such as Nigeria's economic projections, technological advancement trajectories, and evolving higher education policies that will impact resource availability and operational requirements. Strategic planners should establish dedicated budget allocations for staff well-being that become institutionalised rather than dependent on discretionary funding, creating financial predictability that allows for sustained program development and improvement.

Documentation becomes crucial in this process, with comprehensive records of decision-making rationales, implementation strategies, and outcome measurements that serve as institutional memory for future leaders. The strategic plan should also include regular review cycles that allowfor adaptation to changing circumstances while maintaining core commitments to staff

welfare, ensuring that the institution remains responsive to emerging challenges and opportunities in academic staff well-being.

b. Technology Integration Opportunities

Technology integration presents transformative opportunities for Nigerian universities to enhance academic staff well-being while creating cost-effective, scalable solutions that can be sustained over time. Digital platforms can revolutionize professional development by providing online learning opportunities, virtual conference participation, and collaborative research tools that overcome geographical and financial barriers that traditionally limit Nigerian academics' access to international scholarly communities. Universities should invest in robust learning management systems that support continuous professional development, allowing staff to pursue advanced certifications, attend virtual workshops, and participate in global academic networks without the prohibitive costs of international travel.

Artificial intelligence and data analytics can help administrators identify patterns in staff satisfaction, workload distribution, and career development needs, enabling proactive interventions before problems escalate into broader institutional challenges. Digital health platforms can provide telemedicine services, mental health support applications, and wellness tracking systems that address the healthcare challenges many Nigerian academic staff face, particularly in universities located in areas with limited medical facilities. Communication technologies such as unified messaging systems, video conferencing platforms, and collaborative workspaces can reduce isolation, improve coordination between departments, and facilitate mentorship relationships across different campuses or even with international partners. Universities should also leverage technology for administrative efficiency, implementing digital systems for leave applications, performance evaluations, promotion tracking, and resource allocation that reduce bureaucratic delays and improve transparency in staff-related processes. The integration of smart building technologies can create more comfortable and energy-efficient work environments, while mobile applications can provide staff with easy access to university services, campus information, and peer networking opportunities. However, successful technology integration requires substantial investment in infrastructure, particularly reliable internet connectivity and backup power systems, along with comprehensive training programs that ensure all staff members can effectively utilize these digital tools.

c. Partnership Development

Partnership development represents a critical strategy for Nigerian universities to leverage external resources, expertise, and networks that can significantly enhance their capacity to support academic staff well-being beyond what institutional budgets alone can provide. Strategic partnerships with government agencies, including the National Universities Commission, the Nigeria Information Technology Development Agency, and state governments, can provide policy support, funding opportunities, and infrastructure development that creates enabling environments for staff welfare initiatives. Universities should actively cultivate relationships with private sector organisations, including technology companies that can provide digital infrastructure, financial institutions that can offer favourable loan and investment packages for staff, and healthcare providers that can deliver comprehensive medical coverage and wellness programs.

International partnerships with universities in other countries can create opportunities for staff exchange programs, collaborative research initiatives, joint professional development activities, and access to global academic networks that enhance career prospects and intellectual stimulation for Nigerian academics. These partnerships can also facilitate knowledge transfer regarding best practices in staff well-being from institutions that have successfully implemented innovative approaches to academic staff support. Alumni networks represent another crucial partnership opportunity, as successful graduates can provide mentorship, research funding, career guidance, and even direct financial support for staff welfare programs.

Community partnerships with local businesses, healthcare facilities, and service providers can create cost-effective solutions for staff needs while strengthening the university's connection to its surrounding environment. Universities should also consider forming consortia with other Nigerian institutions to share resources, pool expertise, and collectively advocate for policy changes that benefit academic staff across the higher education sector. The development of these partnerships requires dedicated relationship management, clear communication of mutual benefits, and formal agreements that ensure sustainability and accountability in collaborative efforts.

iv.   *Creating Lasting Institutional Culture Change*

Creating lasting institutional culture change requires a fundamental shift in how Nigerian universities conceptualise and prioritise academic staff well-being, moving from treating it as an optional benefit to recognising it as essential infrastructure for institutional excellence and sustainability. This cultural transformation begins with leadership commitment that goes beyond rhetoric to include concrete actions, resource allocation, and accountability mechanisms that demonstrate genuine prioritisation of staff welfare. University administrators must model the

behaviours and values they wish to see throughout the institution, including respect for work-life balance, transparent communication, collaborative decision-making, and recognition of staff contributions to institutional success.

The culture change process involves redesigning organisational systems and processes to embed well-being considerations into all aspects of university operations, from hiring and orientation procedures to performance evaluation criteria and promotion requirements. Universities must establish clear communication channels that allow staff to voice concerns, provide feedback, and participate in decision-making processes that affect their working conditions and career development. Recognition and reward systems should be restructured to celebrate not only academic achievements but also contributions to institutional culture, collegial support, and innovation in teaching and service.

Training programs for administrators at all levels should emphasize people-centered leadership approaches, conflict resolution skills, and understanding of the factors that contribute to academic staff satisfaction and productivity. The institution must also address systemic issues such as inequitable workload distribution, unclear promotion criteria, inadequate research support, and insufficient resources that undermine staff morale and effectiveness. Creating a positive culture requires consistent reinforcement through policies, practices, and daily interactions that demonstrate the institution's commitment to treating academic staff as valued professionals whose well-being directly impacts educational quality and institutional reputation.

v. *Succession Planning for Continued Progress*

Succession planning for continued progress in academic staff well-being initiatives requires Nigerian universities to develop comprehensive systems that ensure continuity of commitment, knowledge, and resources across administrative transitions and leadership changes. This planning process involves creating detailed documentation of all welfare programs, including their rationales, implementation strategies, resource requirements, and outcome measurements, so that incoming administrators can understand and build upon existing initiatives rather than starting from scratch. Universities should establish formal mentorship programs that pair outgoing and incoming leaders, facilitating knowledge transfer and ensuring that institutional memory regarding staff well-being priorities and successful approaches is preserved.

The succession planning process should include the creation of permanent organisational positions dedicated to staff well-being, rather than relying on temporary assignments or voluntary efforts that may be discontinued when personnel change. These positions should have clear job descriptions, appropriate authority, and adequate resources to maintain and develop

well-being programs regardless of broader administrative changes. Cross-training of multiple staff members in program management and implementation ensures that critical initiatives can continue even when key personnel leave or are reassigned.

Financial sustainability planning involves diversifying funding sources for staff well-being initiatives, moving beyond dependence on discretionary budgets to include dedicated line items, external grants, partnership contributions, and endowment funds that provide long-term stability. Universities should also establish governance structures such as well-being committees with staggered terms and rotating membership that can provide continuity and oversight across different administrative periods. Regular evaluation and reporting systems should be implemented to track progress, identify emerging challenges, and demonstrate the value of well-being investments to stakeholders who may question their importance during budget discussions or strategic planning processes. The succession planning framework should also include provisions for adapting and evolving well- being initiatives in response to changing circumstances, technological advances, and emerging best practices, ensuring that the institution's commitment to staff welfare remains relevant and effective over time. This requires building flexibility into program designs, maintaining connections with external networks and research communities, and fostering a culture of continuous improvement that views staff well-being as an ongoing institutional priority rather than a completed project.

Conclusion

As we look toward the future of higher education in Nigeria and globally, the imperative for creating positive work environments for academic staff becomes increasingly clear. The challenges we face—from global competition to local resource constraints—require us to leverage our most valuable asset: our people.

The University of Ilorin, with its proud tradition of excellence and innovation, has been leading by example in creating a model for staff well-being that other institutions can emulate. The university has various initiatives and programmes to care for its workforce, ranging from the institution of housing loans to critical medical interventions through the University Board of Health. However, it can still do much more. This is not merely about implementing policies and programs; it is about creating a culture where academic staff can thrive, where their contributions are valued, and where their well-being is seen as integral to institutional success. In this light, the decision by the present management of the University of Ilorin to reinvigorate Unilorin Holdings Limited represents a transformative approach to addressing one of the most persistent

challenges facing Nigerian universities: inadequate funding for staff welfare and institutional development. This strategic initiative recognises that traditional funding sources—primarily government allocations and school levies—are insufficient to create the kind of positive work environment that attracts, retains, and motivates high-quality academic staff. By establishing a robust business arm, the university is positioning itself to generate sustainable revenue streams that can directly impact staff welfare while reducing dependence on external funding sources. The responsibility rests with all of us—administrators, faculty, support staff, and students—to create environments where excellence can flourish. When we invest in our academic staff, we invest in our students, our research, our communities, and our future.

The journey toward creating truly positive work environments requires commitment, resources, and patience. But the rewards—for individuals, for institutions, and for society—make this investment not just worthwhile but essential. Let us commit ourselves to this vital work, knowing that the well-being of our academic staff is the foundation upon which all our other aspirations rest.

As we move forward, let us remember that creating a positive work environment is not a destination but a journey—one that requires continuous attention, adaptation, and commitment. The University of Ilorin has the opportunity to lead this transformation, creating a model that demonstrates how administrative leadership can foster environments where academic staff and students alike can achieve their full potential.

References

Academic Staff Union of Universities (ASUU). (2020). *The State of Nigerian Universities and the Struggle for Sustainable Funding*. Abuja: ASUU Secretariat. Association for the Study of Higher Education (ASHE) - www.ashe.ws European Association for Institutional Research (EAIR) - www.eair.nl

Allen, T. D., Herst, D. E., Bruck, C. S., & Sutton, M. (2000). Consequences associated with work-to-family conflict: A review and agenda for future research. Journal of Occupational Health Psychology, 5(2), 278-308.

Altbach, P. G., Reisberg, L., & Rumbley, L. E. (2009). Trends in Global Higher Education: Tracking an Academic Revolution. Paris: UNESCO.

Amaral, A., Meek, V. L., & Larsen, I. M. (Eds.). (2003). The Higher Education Managerial Revolution? Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.

Aniagboso I. A. (2019). The Role of Administrators in Efficient Management of the University System in Nigeria. International Journal of Scientific Research in Education, 12(5), 620-631.

Bakker, A. B., & Demerouti, E. (2017). Job demands-resources theory: Taking stock and looking forward. Journal of Occupational Health Psychology, 22(3), 273-285.

Barkhuizen, N., & Rothmann, S. (2008). Occupational stress of academic staff in South African higher education institutions. South African Journal of Psychology, 38(2), 321-336.

Barnett, R. (2003). Beyond All Reason: Living with Ideology in the University. Buckingham: Society for Research into Higher Education & Open University Press.

Bates, A. W. (2015). Teaching in a Digital Age: Guidelines for Designing Teaching and Learning. Vancouver: Tony Bates Associates Ltd.

Birnbaum, R. (2000). Management Fads in Higher Education: Where They Come From, What They Do, Why They Fail. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Bolman, L. G., & Gallos, J. V. (2011). Reframing Academic Leadership. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

British Psychological Society. (2023). Staff Wellbeing in Universities: A Guide for Leaders. Leicester: BPS Publications.

Buonomo, I., et al. (2024). Health and wellbeing of staff working at higher education institutions globally during the post-COVID-19 pandemic period: Evidence from a cross-sectional study. BMC Public Health, 24, Article 1936. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-024-19365-1

Catano, V., Francis, L., Haines, T., Kirpalani, H., Shannon, H., Stringer, B., & Lozanzki, L. (2010). Occupational stress in Canadian universities: A national survey. International Journal of Stress Management, 17(3), 232-258.

Centre for Higher Education Research and Information (CHERI) - www.open.ac.uk/cheri

Clark, B. R. (1998). Creating Entrepreneurial Universities: Organisational Pathways of Transformation. Oxford: Pergamon Press.

Clark, S. C. (2000). Work/family border theory: A new theory of work/family balance. Human Relations, 53(6), 747-770. Committee of Vice-Chancellors of Nigerian Universities (CVCNU) - www.cvcnu.ng

Cotton, S. J., Dollard, M. F., & de Jonge, J. (2002). Stress and student job design: Satisfaction, well-being, and performance in university students. International Journal of Stress Management, 9(3), 147-162

Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). The "what" and "why" of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior. Psychological Inquiry, 11(4), 227-268.

Franco, L. S., Picinin, C. T., Pilatti, L. A., & Franco, A. C. (2021). Work-Life Balance in Higher Education: A Systematic Review of the Impact on the Well-Being of Teachers. Ensaio: Avaliaçãoe Políticas Públicas em Educação, 29, 691-717. https://doi.org/10.1590/s0104-403620210002903021

Fredman, N., & Doughney, J. (2012). Academic dissatisfaction, managerial change and neo-liberalism. Higher Education, 64(1), 41-58.

Garrison, D. R., & Vaughan, N. D. (2008). Blended Learning in Higher Education: Framework, Principles, and Guidelines. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.

Greenhaus, J. H., & Beutell, N. J. (1985). Sources of conflict between work and family roles. Academy of Management Review, 10(1), 76-88.

Hackman, J. R., & Oldham, G. R. (1976). Motivation through the design of work: Test of a theory. Organisational Behavior and Human Performance, 16(2), 250-279.

Hanawa, R. I., Chiroma, A. A., & Kotirde, I. Y. (2024). Impact of Academic Staff Union of Universities' (ASUU)-Federal Government of Nigeria (FGN) disputes on the output of publicuniversities in North-East Nigeria. International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social

Science, 8(10), 1586-1594. https://doi.org/10.47772/IJRISS.2024.8100158

Henderson, C., et al. (2024). Wellbeing in the higher education sector: A qualitative study of staff perceptions in UK universities. Employee Responsibilities and Rights Journal, 36(3), 421-439. https://doi.org/10.1080/15555240.2024.2341741

Henkel, M. (2000). Academic Identities and Policy Change in Higher Education. London: Jessica Kingsley Publishers. International Association of Universities (IAU) - www.iau-aiu.net

Jega, A. (2015). The role of the Academic Staff Union of Universities (ASUU) in Nigeria's democratic development. In Democracy and Development in Nigeria (pp. 234-256). Ibadan: Spectrum Books.

Johnson, R., & Smith, A. (2024). Exploring academic staff engagement in a time of crisis and change through the lens of a multilevel job demand-resources analysis. Higher Education Research & Development, 43(4), 756-771. https://doi.org/10.1080/1360080X.2024.2371076

Kahn, W. A. (1990). Psychological conditions of personal engagement and disengagement at work. Academy of Management Journal, 33(4), 692-724.

Kezar, A., & Lester, J. (2011). Enhancing Campus Capacity for Leadership: An Examination of Grassroots Leaders in Higher Education. Stanford: Stanford University Press.

Kinman, G., & Wray, S. (2013). Higher stress: A survey of stress and well-being among staff in higher education. London: University and College Union.

Knight, P. T., & Trowler, P. R. (2001). Departmental Leadership in Higher Education. Buckingham: Society for Research into Higher Education & Open University Press.

Marginson, S. (2006). Dynamics of national and global competition in higher education. Higher Education, 52(1), 1-39.

Martinez, L., & Brown, P. (2024). The digital aspects of the wellbeing of university teachers. Frontiers in Education, 9, Article 1406141. https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2024.1406141

Maslach, C., & Leiter, M. P. (2016). Understanding the burnout experience: Recent research and its implications for psychiatry. World Psychiatry, 15(2), 103-111.

National Universities Commission (NUC). (2019). Guidelines for Academic Staff Development in Nigerian Universities. Abuja: NUC.

Oluoh, B. N. (2024). Staff training for effective administration of tertiary institutions. International Journal of Institutional Leadership, Policy and Management, 6(1), 1-23.

Onyeike, V. C. & Sam Jaja, A. (2015). University administration and effective academic leadership; Nigerian perspective Global Research Journal of Education, 3(6), 329-339.

Osagie, R. O. (2009). The Academic Staff Union of Universities (ASUU) and the quest for quality university education in Nigeria. Higher Education Review, 41(2), 55-72.

Ramsden, P. (1998). Learning to Lead in Higher Education. London: Routledge.

Recent Research on Higher Education Staff Well-being Research Institute (HERI) - www.heri.ucla.edu

Salami, C. G. E. (2013). Youth unemployment in Nigeria: A time for creative intervention. International Journal of Business and Marketing Management, 1(2), 18-26.

Salmon, G. (2011). E-moderating: The Key to Teaching and Learning Online (3rd ed.). New York: Routledge.

Schaufeli, W. B., & Bakker, A. B. (2004). Job demands, job resources, and their relationship with burnout and engagement: A multi-sample study. Journal of Organisational Behavior, 25(3), 293-315.

Scott, P. (1995). The Meanings of Mass Higher Education. Buckingham: Society for Research into Higher Education & Open University Press.

Stephen, V. (2024) Key Factors Influencing Job Satisfaction among Academic Staff in the University. Journal of Human Resource and Sustainability Studies, 12, 399-414. https://doi.org/10.4236/ jhrss.2024.123022

Stephen, V. A. (2024). Key Factors Influencing Job Satisfaction among Academic Staff in the University. Journal of Human Resource and Sustainability Studies, 12, 399-414. https://doi.org/10.4236/jhrss.2024.123022

Thompson, K., et al. (2022). Tipping point: The staff wellbeing crisis in higher education. Frontiers in Education, 7, Article 929335. https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2022.929335

Times Higher Education. (2024). Global University Staff Satisfaction Survey 2024. London: THE.

UNESCO (2016). Recommendation concerning the status of higher education teaching personnel. URL:http//portal.unesciooas/en/ev/php-URL-   ID=   ISIHH&URL-DO=DO-TOPIC& URL – SECTION =201.htm/

UNESCO. (2022). Higher Education and the Future of Work: Building Resilient Universities. Paris: UNESCO Publishing.

ERIC (Education Resources Information Centre) - eric.ed.gov Higher Education Tertiary Education Quality and Standards Agency (TEQSA) - www.teqsa.gov.au